

O'ZBEKISTON XALQARO ISLOM AKADEMIYASI

IMOM MOTURIDIY XALQARO ILMIY-TADQIQOT MARKAZI

MOTURIDIYLIK

The Maturidiya – الماتريدية – Матуридизм



IMOM MOTURIDIY

XALQARO ILMIY-TADQIQOT MARKAZI

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CENTER

المركز الدولي للبحوث العلمية

Tashkent–2021

TAHRIR HAY'ATI

1. **Rustam QOSIMOV** – iqtisod fanlari doktori, professor;
2. **Muzaffar KAMILOV** – tarix fanlar nomzodi;
3. **Usmonxon ALIMOV** – O‘zbekiston musulmonlari idorasi raisi, muftiy;
4. **Zohidjon ISLOMOV** – filologiya fanlari doktori, professor;
5. **Davron MAXSUDOV** – tarix fanlari doktori, dotsent;
6. **Shoazim MINAVVAROV** – O‘zbekistondagi islom sevilizatsiya markazi direktori;
8. **Ahadjon HASANOV** – tarix fanlari doktori, professor;
9. **Zohidullo MUNAVVAROV** – siyosiy fanlar doktori, professor;
10. **Shovosil ZIYODOV** – tarix fanlari nomzodi
11. **Ahmad Sa’d DAMANHURIY** – falsafa fanlari doktori;
12. **Ashirbek MO‘MINOV** – tarix fanlari doktori, professor, (L.N.Gumilev nomidagi Yevroosiyo milliy universiteti);
13. **Muhammad NAZIR AYYAD** – Ilohiyot fanlari doktori, (Al-Azhar majmuasining Islom tadqiqotlari akademiyasi bosh kotibi);
14. **Moxanad XURSHID** – Ilohiyot fanlari doktori, (Myunster universiteti huzuridagi Islom ilohiyoti markazi rahbari);
15. **Kamaluddin NURIDDIN MERJUNI** – falsafa fanlari doktori, (Malayziya Islom fanlari universiteti kafedra mudiri);
16. **Sayyid Abubakr MUTTAQIY** – Islom huquqshunosligi bo‘yicha doktor, (Afg‘onistonning mustaqil ma‘muriy islohotlar va davlat xizmati komissiyasining yuridik maslahatlar bo‘yicha boshqarma boshlig‘i)

MUNDARIJA

Tabriklar

- Rustam Qosimov**.....3
Salim ibn Muhammad al-Malik.....5
Ali Erbaş.....7
Abdug‘ofur Axmedov.....10
Usmonxon Alimov.....13
Recep Şentürk.....17

Zohidjon ISLOMOV. O‘zbekistonda Moturidiylik aqidasi o‘rganishga doir ilmiy tadqiqotlar.....19

Mustafa CERİĆ. In the Shadow of Quasi-Salafi Ideology: A Need for an Enlightenment from Imām Al-Mâturîdî’s Sunni Synthetic Theolgy.....27

Ahmed AK. Mürtekb-I kebire bağlamında Imam Mâturîdî’nin Hâricîlere yönelttiği eleştiriler.....34

Avvod Mahmud AVVOD SALIM.

العقيدة الماتريدية استقامة المنهج وصفاء المذهب..... 41

Shovosil ZIYODOV. Moturidiya kalom maktabiga oid yangi manbalar tahlili.....46

Sönmez KUTLU. Türki kavimlerin din anlayışının kurucu unsurlari: Hanefilik ve Maturidilik.....53

Saidmuxtor OKILOV. Imom Moturidiy davrida aqidaga oid yozilgan asarlar.....64

Ramil ADIGAMOV. Базовые принципы учения Абу Мансура ал-Матуриди и его развитие в Волго-Уральском регионе.....68

Ahmad Sa’d DAMANHURIY.

الماتريدي والماتريدية في عالمنا المعاصر 76

Metin Avcı. Imam Mâturîdî’ye göre imanda artma ve eksilme sorunu.....83

Abdullatif Alloqulov. Movarounnahr ilmiy muhitida Imom Moturidiyning tutgan o‘rni.....91



Mustafa Cerić

*Grand Mufti Emeritus of
Bosnia*

**IN THE SHADOW OF QUASI-
SALAFĪ IDEOLOGY: A NEED FOR
AN ENLIGHTENMENT FROM IMĀM
AL-MĀTURĪDĪ'S SUNNI SYNTHETIC
THEOLOGY**

**САЛАФИЙЛИК МАФКУРАСИ
СОЯСИДА: ИМОМ МОТУРИДИЙНИНГ
СИНТЕЗЛИ СУННИЙЛИК АҚИДАСИ
АСОСИДАГИ МАЪРИФАТГА ЭХТИЁЖ**

**В ТЕНИ КВАЗИСАЛАФИТСКОЙ
ИДЕОЛОГИИ: НЕОБХОДИМОСТЬ
ПРОСВЕТЛЕНИЯ ИЗ СУННИТСКОГО
СИНТЕТИЧЕСКОГО БОГОСЛОВИЯ
ИМАМА АЛЬ-МАТУРИДИ**

Key words: *Al-Māturīdī, al-Salaf, Utopia, Obedience, Ṭā'ah, Elucidation, Bayān, Naql, 'Aql, Synthesis, Theory of Knowledge, Roots of Knowledge, Means of Knowledge.*

Калит сўзлар: *ал-Мотуридий, Салаф, Утопия, Бўйсунуш, Тоат, Изоҳ, Баён, Нақл, Ақл, Синтез, Билиш назарияси, Билим манбалари, Билиш воситалари*

Ключевые слова: *В тени квазисалафитской идеологии: Необходимость просветления из суннитского синтетического богословия Имама Аль-Матуриди*

I

In the Shadow of Utopia (طوبيا)

The Muslim moralist Aḥmad Taqiyuddin Ibn Taymiyya (1263 - 1328) of 13th century and the English utopian Thomas More (1477 -1535) of 15th century have nothing similar except that, each one of them in their own terms, had been against the existing place of living, searching for or dreaming of a place of no-where, i.e., a place that does not exist in a real world. This is called *utopia* from Greek οὐ(ου)+τόπος, which means “nowhere” or “no-place”. The word

utopia has often been taken to mean “good place”, through confusion of its syllable with the Greek *eu* as in *euphemism* or *eulogy*. As a result of this mix-up, another word *dystopia* was invented, to mean “bad place”. But, strictly speaking, imaginary “good places” and imaginary “bad places” are all utopias, or nowhere [13].

Thomas More was not first who dreamt of utopia, a “good place” for living. He was preceded by Plato (428 - 347 before Mīlād]b.m.[) with his *Republic* as well as by Tommaso Campanella (1568 – 1639) with his *The City of the Sun*[14]. However, Thomas More was the first to make the word utopia up for his book *Utopia* [12]. In *Utopia*, Thomas More presents to his readers an idealistic portrayal of a nation employing an egalitarian government. Through his spokesperson, the sagacious and well-traveled Raphael Hythloday, Thomas More describes and evaluates utopian politics and social values, including attitudes toward money, work, land ownership, punishment of crime, and poverty. Utopia has no lawyers. Politicians are respected but not venerated, and since there is no money or property, bribery is unknown. Utopians view marriage as a sacred institution. Premarital intercourse is prohibited and severely punished. “The head of each household searches out [from central warehouses] whatever he or his household needs and carries away their requirements without any payment or recompense. After all, why should anything be denied him? There is more than enough of everything, and there is no fear that anyone will take more than they really need” [15].

The Arabs have translated the More’s *Utopia* as طوبيا (wish, hope, yearning) from the word “طَبِيئَةٌ”, which means a goodness and good luck and the plural of it is “طُوبَى”, which is mentioned in the Holy Qur’an:

الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ طُوبَى لَهُمْ وَحَسُنَ مَا أَجَبَ
(سورة الرعد الآية ٢٩)

(“Those who believed, and work righteousness Ṭūba (good luck) is for them and a beautiful place of (final) return”).

In The Dictionary of Fiqh Meanings, the Arabic word “طُوبَى” means “happiness”, which is the name of a tree in the Jannah (Heaven). “Whoever gets the chance to reach such a tree will walk in the shadow of it for a hundred years”. Then, neither the Muslim philosophers were without utopian ideas. The most conspicuous among them was Abū Naṣr al-Fārābī (870 - 950) by his epochal work: مبادئ آراء المدينة الفاضلة – Principles of the Views of the Citizens of the Best State

The greatest contribution of Imam Maturidi to the development of Islamic theology was undoubtedly the theory of knowledge proposed by him. The scholar's unique scientific method in the study of the science of aqeedah, his ability to approach all the facts objectively and critically, ensured that he became one of the greatest thinkers of all time. In his time, Imam Maturidi made extensive use of reason as well as naqli evidences in solving problems related to Islamic theology. This led to the spread of the teachings he founded widely and became one of the doctrines of Sunni Muslims.

The article analyzes the views of representatives of the Western utopian school on utopian theory, as well as Muslim philosophers. In particular, the results of the scientific research of Abu Nasr al-Farabi and the English philosopher Thomas More on this subject have been studied comparatively. In addition, the article reveals the differences between as-Salaf as-salih who lived in the first three centuries of Islam and the destructive ideas of the group that claims to be Salaf today. Furthermore, the scientific and practical significance of the doctrine of Maturidiyya, founded by Imam Maturidi, in the struggle against various misguided sects is substantiated.

Имом Матуридийнинг ислом илоҳиётшунослиги ривожига қўшган энг катта хиссаси – бу, шубҳасиз, билиш назарияси ҳисобланади. Олимнинг ақида илмини тадқиқ этишдаги ўзига хос илмий услуби, барча далилларга холисона ва танқидий ёндаша олиши уни барча даврларнинг энг буюк мутафаккирлари билан бир сафда бўлишини таъминлаган. Ўз даврида Имом Матуридий ислом илоҳиётшунослигига оид муаммоларнинг ечимини ҳал қилишда нақлий далиллар билан бир қаторда ақлдан ҳам кенг фойдаланган. Бу эса у томонидан асос солинган таълимнинг кенг тарқалиб, сунний мусулмонлар ақидавий таълимотларидан бирига айланишига замин яратган.

Мақолада мусулмонлар орасидан етишиб чикқан файласуфлар билан бир қаторда Фарб утопик мактаби вакилларининг утопик назария борасидаги қарашлари ҳам таҳлил қилинган. Жумладан, Абу Наср Форобий ҳамда инглиз файласуфи Томас Морнинг бу борада олиб борган илмий изланишлари натижалари қиёсий ўрганилган. Шу билан бир қаторда, мақолада исломнинг илк уч асрида яшаган салафи солиҳлар ҳамда бугунги кунда ўзларини салафлар деб даъво қилаётган тоифанинг деструктив ғоялари ўртасидаги фарқлар очиб берилган ҳамда турли адашган оқимларга қарши курашда Имом Матуридий томонидан асос солинган матуридийлик таълимнинг илмий-амалий аҳамияти асосланган.

Наибольший вклад имама Матуриди в развитие исламского богословия, несомненно, составляет теория познания. Уникальный научный стиль ученого в изучении науки акиды, его способность объективно и критически подходить ко всем доводам и доказательствам, гарантировали, что он находится на одном уровне с величайшими мыслителями всех времен. В свое время имам Матуриди широко использовал рационализм, а также аргументы, основанные на религиозных текстах, в решении спорных вопросов исламского богословия. Это привело к распространению основанного им учения и стало одним из теологических учений мусульман-суннитов.

В статье анализируются взгляды представителей западной утопической школы на свои теории, а также философов, выросших среди мусульман. В частности, проведен сравнительный анализ результатов научных исследований Абу Насра аль-Фараби и английского философа Томаса Мора на эту тему. В то же время, в статье раскрываются различия между салафитами, жившими в первые три века ислама, и деструктивными идеями группы, которая сегодня называет себя салафитами. Кроме того, научное и практическое значение учения Матуридизм, созданное имамом Матуриди, основано на борьбе с различными ересями.

Al-Fārābī is interesting to us not only because he developed a utopia-ṭūbawīyah (يُوطُوبِيَا طُوبَاوِيَّةً) idea of “The Virtuous City” (طُوبَى الْمَدِينَةِ الْفَاضِلَةِ), but also because his major philosophical works permeate the utopia-ṭūbā idea, the works such as “كتابه السياسة” (Politics); “رسالة في السياسات المدنية” (Civil Politics); “رسالة في التنبيه على سبيل” (A Treatise as a Reminder to the Road Toward Happiness); and “تحصيل السعادة” (A Triumph of Happiness).

Al-Fārābī's utopian (ṭūbawīyya) ideas are not a mere imitation of Plato's Republic, as some tend to think, but his “Virtuous City” is a structure of his

theological opinions as well as his intention to make a “Perfect State”, whereby a noble society may live, the society that is compatible with the demands of time in which Al-Fārābī himself had lived. Indeed, Al-Fārābī's plan for an imaginary city-state and society is at the same time a sharp critique of or opposition to the existing Muslim state and society of his time. And that is the basic idea of any utopian concept - a critique or destruction of the existing state of affairs in order to construct or reconstruct nonexistent state. In fact, by negating the existing place one wants to find or reconstruct non-existent place, if not in reality then

at least in the head of protagonists of an ideal society, in the case of the contemporary Muslim affairs to reconstruct a society in accordance to the ideals of al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ, the reverend and glorious forerunners of the first generation of the Prophet - the 'aṣḥāb, then of the second generation of the Prophet and the first generation of the followers of the Prophet's 'aṣḥāb - the ṭābi'ūn and then the third generation of the Prophet, the second generation of the followers of 'aṣḥāb and the first generation of followers of the followers - the ṭābi'ū al-ṭābi'īn.

In Europe or in the West generally the basic idea of Enlightenment (تنوير) is founded on a deconstruction of existing methods in science and technology and, consequently, on the deconstruction of the existing norms in the state and society in order to construct new social norms and establish new scientific and technical methods. Here we have a movement or stride from unwanted past and present into a wanted future, while in the Muslim contemporary or modern history this movement was going the opposite way - from one unwanted preset toward a wanted past as a ṭūbā-tree in Heaven or utopian- ṭūbāwian nowhereistan. Indeed, this utopian idea, which could not originate and prevail without some theological premises, has always appeared at critical historical situations, especially when the Muslims were losing their place which they thought has belonged to them forever.

Certainly, the fall of the Abbaside Caliphate in Baghdad by the end of 13th century, and, then, the final abolition of the institution of the Caliphate in Istanbul by the beginning of the 20th century, marked the two most crises in the history of Islam and Muslims. In the case of the former crisis it can be said that Ibn Taymiyya and his pupil Qayyim al-Jawziyya (1292-1350) were main protagonists of a utopian idea in the sense of a reconstruction of the deconstructed idea of al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ, while in the case of latter, i.e., the abolition of the Ottoman Caliphate, the main protagonists of the return to the path (منهاج) to a pure spring of pure and eternal water (شرعة) was Muḥammad bin Abd al-Wahhāb (1703 -1792).

In the case of the previous crisis, it can be said that Ibn Taymiyyah and his disciple Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah (1292–1350) were the main protagonists of utopian-ṭūbāwiyya thought in terms of reconstructing the deconstructed idea of al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ, while in the case of the latter crisis, i.e., the collapse of the Ottoman Caliphate, the main protagonist of the return to the Path (منهاج) of pure and eternal Norma (شرعة) was Imam Muḥammad ibn Abd al-Wahhāb (1703 -1792).

Shaykh-ul-Islam Ibn Taymiyyah was a combination of 'ilmul-tawḥīd and 'ilmul-fiqh with a certain appetite for politics, in which he was faithfully followed by his disciple Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah. They both tasted prison at the same time because of it. After the death of Ibn Taymiyyah, Ibn al-Jawziyyah was released from prison. Imam Muḥammad ibn Abd al-Wahhāb is remembered more for his Puritan 'ilmul-tawḥīd than for his practical 'ilmul-fiqh with a special emphasis on the fight against bid'at (innovation) in the ahl al-ṣūfiyyah. From today's distance, different assessments can be made of the role of Shaykh al-Islam Ibn Taymiyyah and Imam Muḥammad ibn Abd al-Wahhāb in shaping the Salafī consciousness among Muslims, but one thing is certain, namely that in the crisis they both had faced, they sought a way out in the utopian-ṭūbāwiyya return back instead of moving forward.

Therefore, we are confronted here with another essential difference between utopian thought in Europe and utopian-ṭūbāwiyya thought among Muslims. Namely, Europe had no choice but to go through the essential catharsis of its dark medieval past, which is rightly called the «dark age», followed by the «bright age» or the Enlightenment, while for Muslims that time was and remains the «bright age» of Muslim history, which has become “dark” due to the colonization of the area, where Muslims felt safe and prosperous. For both of these great crises in Muslim history, one had to blame someone other than the colonizers themselves, for the Baghdad the Mongols and for Istanbul the Europeans, but also to find a savior who knew the way back to the lost place, where victory and glory awaited the Muslims. In this process of looking for the «culprit» and «savior» to get out of the crisis, a process that takes a long time, it is easier for some to find the culprit than the savior.

One of these culprits is Imam Abū Maṣṣūr al-Maturīdī, who was known to the great ulamā' of Semerkand in the tenth century as:

إمام الهدي ، وعلم الهدي ، وإمام المتكلمين ، ومصحح
عقائد المسلمين ، ورئيس أهل السنة

But after more than a millennium (1108 H, 1075 M) this honorary title of Imam al-Maturīdī was annulled by Abū Abdullah Shamsuddin bin Muḥammad Ashraf (72 13 هـ - 1420هـ), known as al-Shams al-Salafī al-Afghanī of Peštun. Namely, al-Shams al-Salafī decided to study 'aqīda of Imam al-Maturīdī, which seemed to him corrupt and inappropriate to 'aqīdat al-salaf. I am not omitting the attribute of al-ṣāliḥ here, but it is al-Shams al-Salafī,

who obviously believes that the term “al-salafī” means everything, especially that you are the only saved group (الفرقة الناجية) under that name and that, therefore, you should be against all who are not Salafis in the al-Shams al-Salafī al-Afghani way. It does not matter whether you are al-ṣāliḥ or not. What matters is that you are Salafī in accordance to the al-Shams al-Salafī al-Afghani’s mind. He wrote his master’s thesis at the Islamic University of Medina in 1989 under the working title: «الماتريدية وموقفهم من توحيد الأسماء والصفات» (“The attitude of the Al-Māturīdiyya on the tawḥīd of God’s names and attributes”) [11]. One would have hoped to learnt about the great representatives of the Ahl-i Sunni-Jama’ah, the Imam al-Māturīdī, who for some reason was neglected in the ‘aqā’id dispute, where the Ash’arite ‘aqā’id school predominated, but al-Shams al-Salafī experiences a kind of Copernican turn, so that correct becomes incorrect in his mind, and what was considered good becomes bad. Then, al-Shams al-Salafī changed the working title of his master’s thesis to the official title:

عداء الماتريدية للعقيدة السلفية – وتاريخهم ومذهبهم
في الصفات الإلهية

Enmity of the Al-Māturīdiyya towards the Salafī aqīda - their history and madhhab on Divine attributes

The logic is clear: if you don’t have an enemy, invent it. That is how al-Shams al-Salafī does it. In three large volumes, each of 600 or more pages, al-Shams al-Salafī exposes the mockery of Imam al-Māturīdī, his disciples and followers, of whom Muhammad Zāhid al-Kawtharī (1879–1952) is his favorite target because, as al-Shams al-Salafī says, al-Kawtharī was the restorer (mujaddid) of the Imam al-Māturīdī school [11: 20].

Al-Shams al-Salafī is not only biasedly incorrect against Imam al-Māturīdī, but he is much more aggressively biased in praising himself because all failed in defending the Salafī ‘aqīda except him, who was chosen and called to defend the Ummah from the dangers of those who are called to defend Muḥammad’s (a.s.) شرعة Norm and منهاج Path, but they are not doing so. They are, Al-Shams al-Salafī claims, more dangerous than all, even more than Jews and Christians, who are not concerned with ‘aqīda among Muslims. The fight against the ‘aqīdat bid’at, as seen by al-Shams al-Salafī, is more important and valuable than jihād itself and that is why he left the jihād in Afghanistan and came to Medina to write his master’s thesis against the al-Māturīdī aqīda, as the highest act of imān/faith for the specter of a guilty ‘aqīda haunting over Muslim heads. This latest crisis among Muslims bears a resemblance to the crises

of Ibn Taymiyyah and Abdul-l-Wahhābi, but it is special in that it is self-extorted or self-promoted as an internal crisis of mind and motive of individual or group interests in the distribution of inherited spiritual treasures or paths to springs or springs of the pure and eternal word of God, paths that interest groups block from each other so that all remain both without an open path and without a clear goal to the promised salvation. It was, indeed, a noble idea in the 1960s from noble royal and ulamā’ minds to raise three reference Islamic universities to train young people based on authentic Islamic Sunni science from the Qur’an and Sunnah in Saudi Arabia, Medina; Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur; and Nigeria, Abuja.

Two projects have become successful: in Kuala Lumpur and in Medina. Many of our Bosnian students also studied at the Islamic University in Malaysia and Medina. Many of them today occupy important positions in our state and society. The Islamic theological school could not, and never will be reduced to only one Path to the source or fountain of the pure and eternal word of God, but the idea of Tawḥīd, defined for each of you the Norma (شريعة) and the Way (“منهاج”), to remain forever the same alive, as Medina will remain forever هديّ and نور for all Muslims and for all followers of Imam al-Māturīdī:

، إمام الهدي ، علم الهدي ، وإمام المتكلمين ،
ومصحح عقائد المسلمين ، ورئيس أهل السنة

May Allah’s mercy be upon him, his disciples, his followers and all who read his noble ideas of Tawḥīd.

II

In the Search of al-Salaf al-Ṣāliḥ Instead of the Quasi-Salafī Ideology

The idea of salaf is a noble one. For, without a salaf, i.e. the autochthon ancestors, the khalaf, i.e. the autochthon descendants would not know their proper identity because the identity is a continuity of memory, and the memory, especially religious and spiritual one is preserved by al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ, the good and caring ancestors. Thus, the salaf, i.e. the ancestors as a paradigm of the khalaf, i.e. the descendants are indispensable in defining and keeping the khalaf’s internal and external identity. Hence, no one has monopoly on the salaf as it is a Muslim shared property of individual as well as of collective identity as a continuity of memory of the tenets of faith, of the knowledge of history, of the sense of destiny, of the purpose of life and of the right to a success here in this world and to a salvation in the hereafter. Therefore, no one should be alienated from

the right to identify himself/herself from belonging to the salaf as his/her rightful predecessors. Based on this premise, we all as Muslims have the right to claim that we belong to the good heritage of the good al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ, but we do not have the right to monopolise this noble title by excommunicating those who are not in the line of our point of view about certain issues, including those opinions pertaining to the understanding of the very meaning of al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ. There is no genuine Muslim ‘ālum, scholar, who alienated himself from al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ. On the contrary, every ‘ālum, scholar was proud to claim that he followed the path of al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ and believed that he was part of al-firqah a-nājiyah, the saved group. However, the Muslim scholars are confronted today with a quasi-salafi ideology which tends to poison the spirit and body of the originality of the idea of al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ, the good and positive memory of the salaf as the core of our Muslim identity. This is why we need today, as our remote ancestor, Imām Abū Maṣū‘ al-Māturīdī’s spirit and mind as well as the spirit of the al-Māturīdī’s of teachings, i.e. the Māturīdiyyah of the past for the solution of the present spiritual, intellectual and political crisis of the Ummah. And this is what we are trying to do in this paper - to show the al-Māturīdī’s genuine methodological working out of a synthetic Sunni theology as well as an original epistemological framework for a synthesis of the Naql (tradition) and ‘Aql (Reason). Here are some extracts from my doctoral dissertation at the University of Chicago 1986 titled “A Study of the Theology of Abū Maṣū‘ al-Māturīdī”. The result of this dissertation was not only a discovery of an orthodox Sunni theological doctrine, which comes along with al-Asha‘rī’s as well as al-Ṭahāwī’s Sunni theology, but also it is a guideline for a genuine al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ theology against the quasi-salafi ideology. If this guideline was workable in the past, it means that it can be workable at the present. I understand that this conference is organized to promote exactly this the Sunni theology of al-salaf al-ṣāliḥ against quasi-salafi ideology.

III

In the Light of Imām al-Māturīdī’s Synthesis of Naql-‘Aql Tensions

(a) Faithful Obedience (Ṭā‘ah)

Although the idea of Ṭā‘ah (obedience) assumes the traditional or irrational approach to problems, in this case to religious or theological problems, it is not completely devoid of Reason. For one must also have a justification for adopting this traditional

approach of Ṭā‘ah. In the case of the early stage of Islam, this Ṭā‘ah was adopted, first, second, because the community was not yet exposed either to internal conflict or external influences.

This first aspect may be further elaborated by the fact that the early Muslim generations saw in Islam both the resumption of primordial monotheism and, more importantly, the removal of old social injustice. They thus lived in the hope that Islam would provide a better life both here and in the hereafter. To attain either of these two goals, one had obediently to accept the new perspective because it comes not from immediate experience but was supposed to create one. Thus, on the one hand, the very idea that Islam came from authentic divine source, and, on the other, that it was different from the existing ethical, social and political system, had enough force to gain the total acceptance of the early Muslim community.

When we speak of the early stage of Islamic theology as Ṭā‘ah, we mean the total commitment of Muslims to the theoretical premises of Islam, without consciously questioning their implications or their possible logical conflicts. This, however, does not mean complete irrationality, but rather an acceptance of the idea that Reason is short of explaining everything. On the basis of this assertion we may explain Imam Malik’s doctrine of bilā kayf (a non-committal or non-questioning) attitude to which the Sunni theologians often had recourse when they saw that there was no rational explanation for a certain theological proposition.

(b) Elucidation (Bayān)

As long as there was no noticeable internal conflict within the Muslim community, this Ṭā‘ah attitude was both justifiable and strong. But, when a series of conflicts erupted within the community, this collective Ṭā‘ah lost its previous rationale and strength. It was, for example, hard for all Muslims to accept unquestioningly the assassination of the caliph ‘Uthman, to witness indifferently the battles of the Camel and Ṣiffīn, and to acquiesce obediently to the unfortunate events at Karbalā’. Nevertheless, the community had to continue its life, and, therefore, there had to be a Bayān (elucidation or justification) of these unpleasant events. That is to say, the Muslim community had by now created its own tradition, and some events were not compatible with the fundamental principles of Islam on which this tradition was based. Furthermore, the rapidity of these events left no time for calm reasoning or reflection, but required an immediate response to the difficult question as to who was wrong and who right in these bloody struggles

or, what was the relationship between Islamic theory and practice. The first reaction to this dilemma came from the Kharijites, who, revolted by the injustice of Mu'āwiya and upset by the indecisiveness of 'Ali b. Abi Tālib, proclaimed both parties wrong and, consequently, came up with the extreme theological judgement that a Muslim who committed mortal sin can no longer be regarded as a legitimate member of the Muslim community. This, in turn, opened a series of other extreme theological views. In counter-reaction to this Kharijite view came the stance of the Muriji'tes, who, seeing the moral utopianism of the Kharijites, connected their theology with political conformism to the Umayyad regime. Worse than tis Abdullah b. Saba' went so far as to proclaim 'Ali b. Tālib as incarnation of God.

As is well known; all these extremist groups disappeared in the course of Islamic history and have only served orthodox theology as bad examples. Two different groups within the realm of Islamic, however, have survived throughout the whole history of Islam and still hold fast to their early difference, namely, the Sunnites and the Shi'ites. The former has always represented the main stream of the Muslim community while the latter has always tried to be duly recognized and sometimes even to dominate. Just as the Shi'ites developed their own political philosophy, they evolved their own theological one as well. Here, however, our focus is on the theological development of the Sunnites, the majority part of the Muslim world, rather than the Shi'ites.

At first, the idea of the Sunnism implied political positivism rather than theological synthesis or Islamic orthodoxy. In fact, this early political Sunnism was developed to repel the opposition of the political theocrats of the Shi'ites. Thus, in this political sense of Sunnis, all groups that objected to the idea of the Shi'ite theocracy, such as the Muriji'tes, Qadarites, Jabrites, and so on, were considered to be the Sunnites. It was only later, when Sunnism came to represent ideological or theological synthetism, that the term was reserved for Islamic orthodoxy as opposed to all extremist theological groups regardless of their political attitudes. At this point of the stage of Bayan in Islamic theology, the most visible figure of Islamic theological moderation of orthodoxy was Abu Ḥanīfah, the founder of one of the four main Islamic legal schools. He is not only important for us here because he probably was the only person at this stage who dealt seriously with theological problems. In fact, Abu Ḥanīfah left behind more books or tracts

on Islamic theology than any of his contemporaries. Five of these tracts have been preserved, namely:

1. al-Fiqh al-Akbar
2. al-Fiqh al-Absaṭ
3. Kitāb al-'Ālim wa al-Muta'allim
4. Risālah ilā 'Uthmān al-Battī
5. al-Waṣīyyah

There are some questions as to the origin of these tracts which are ascribed to Abu Ḥanīfah. Wensinck thinks "...that it (al-Fiqh al-Akbar) represents the view of orthodoxy in the middle of the eighth century A.D. on the then prominent dogmatic questions; and that it reflects the discussions of the Kharijites, Shi'tes and Kadarites, not those of the Muriji'tes, nor those of the Mu'tazilites." Our aim here is not to discuss Abu Ḥanīfah's theology per se, and the origin of his tracts, but rather to contend that they definitely represent his theological assertions and reflect, as Wensinck has rightly put it; "...the discussion of the Kharijites, Shi'ites, Kadarites, not those of the Mu'tazilites." That is to say, Abu Ḥanīfah's theology is aimed at finding a moderate or inclusive theological way and at repelling those extreme elements of the Kharijites, the Shi'ites, the Qadarites, the Jabrites and the like. It is not yet rational in the sense that it still lacks a definite system of reasoning, and it is no longer Tā'ah theology because it has in itself certain theological judgements which are based on human experience rather than merely inspired by the Scripture.

Therefore, by the stage of Bayān in Islamic theology we mean that period when the Muslim theologians were responding to the immediate challenges of their times with an intent either to condemn or to justify certain actions of the past. This "theology of elucidation" has rational elements in its procedure but is still far from the point to be called rational in the full sense of that term.

(c) Naql-'Aql: Conflict and Synthesis

Full development of Islamic theology came with the introduction of the more refined and more systematically worked out theological method. There is a general feeling among the students of Islam that the rational way in Islamic theology, and in other fields of Islamic studies as well, came as the result of the introduction, however indirect, of Greek philosophy into the intellectual world of Islam at the end of the first century of Islam. On the whole, this assertion, of course, is true. However, I think that even if the Muslims had not known all the details of Greek philosophy, there would still have been some sort of rational impulse in their system of learning. For, Islam, i.e., the Qur'an and the example of the

Prophet, is full of rational premises and rational explanations. Of course, Islam is first and foremost a religion, it is not unconditional dogma. But unlike many religions, it is not irrational in the sense of suppressing Reason, although it is not overly rational in the sense of espousing pure philosophy. Therefore, from the very beginning, Islam had assumed a certain amount of rationality which in the stage of Tā'ah was not really needed and in the stage of Bāyan was not well worked out.

As is often the case with any religion, so it was with Islam, too, that a conflict between Reason and Dogma has inevitably arisen. The first initiative of this conflict came on the part of Reason, and the first exponents of it were called the Mu'tazilites. Supported by the rational side of Islam and influenced by Greek philosophers, they were the first Muslim thinkers who saw in Reason all possible solutions for theological and other religious problems. This pure rationalism of the Mu'tazilites could not but provoke the other side of Islam, pure dogma. But probably, had it not been for interference by the state into the theological issues at this stage, which tipped the balance to favour Reason, this first conflict between Naql and 'Aql in Islam would not have had such a great impact on the subsequent development of Islam theology. Nevertheless, in this conflict of Naql and 'Aql there were always those Muslims who were able to recognise the original Islamic intent and to maintain a balance between Reason and Dogma and who tried to work out proper system for realising that goal. al-Māturīdī is one of the best examples in this regard. In fact, as our study shows, he was one of the most original orthodox Muslim thinkers of the early period. Indeed, without any exaggeration, al-Māturīdī may be regarded as the most genuine founder of Islamic synthetic theology. He was not only able always to keep the balance between Tradition and Reason, but was also he was able to sow the validity of Tradition and the full strength of Reason within the context of that Islamic Tradition. As we shall see, many points concerning the early and later development of Islamic theology up to his time, points which are thought to be the discovery of modern scholarship, had already been made by al-Māturīdī in the fourth/tenth century.

Undoubtedly, al-Māturīdī's most important contribution to Islamic theological thought was his development of the Islamic theological theory of knowledge. The significance of his theological theory of knowledge, although not always fully recognized by either Muslim or non-Muslim scholars, is no less than that of al-Shafi'i's theoretical framework of

Islamic Law. Furthermore, al-Māturīdī's scientific way of research, his sense of thorough analysis, and his objective critical mind reserve for him a place among the most serious thinkers of all times. We will see that al-Māturīdī was not afraid of any theological question, was not reluctant to take up any difficult issue and was not disinclined to any rational possibility.

Bibliography

1. Abu Hannifa, al-'Alim wa al-Muta'allim, edited by Muhammad Zahid al-Kawthari, 1368/1949.
2. al-Fiqh al-Akbar, 1323 h.
3. al-Bazdawī, Abu 'l-Yusr, Kitab Usul al-Din, edited by Hans peter Lints, Cairo, 1383/1963.
4. al-Maturidi, Abu Mansur, Kitab al-Tawhid, edited by Fathalla Kholeif, Dar al-Mashriq, 1970.
5. al-Maturidi. Kitab Ta'wilat Ahl al-Sunnah, Ms. Dar al-Kutub al-Masriyyah, No. 873, Tafsir.
6. al-Maturidi. Ta'wilat Ahla al-Sunnah, edited by Ibrahim 'Awadain and Sayyid 'Awadain, vol. i, Cairo, 1391/1971.
7. Al-Taftazani, Sharh al-'Aqa'id al-Nasafiyyah, edited by Khalud Salamah, Dimishq, 1974. Von Manfred, "Maturidi und sein Kitab Ta'wilat al-Qur'an", Der Islam, vol. 41, 1965.
8. Hajji Khalifa, Mustafa b. Abdullah Katib Chalabi, Kashf al-Zunun 'an Asami al-Kutub wa al-Funun, 2 vol. Istanbul, 1360 -1362/1941-1943/.
9. Ibn al-Humam, Muhammad b. abi al-Wahid, al-Musayarah fi 'Ilm al-Kalam wa al-'Aqa'id al-Tawhidiyyah al-Munjiyyah fi- Akhirah, edited by 'Abd al-Hamid 'muhy al-Din, Cairo 1348/1929.
10. Rahman, Fazlur, Islam, 2nd edition, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1979.
11. Rahman, M.M., al-Maturidi's Ta'wilat Ahl al-Sunnah, Islamic Foundation, Bangladesh, 1981.
12. Shams al-Din al-Afghani. 'Ida' al-Maturidiya lil 'aqida al-Salafiyah, al-Ta'if: Maktabat al-Siddiq, 1998
13. Sir Thomas More, Utopia, Barnes & Noble Classics, New York, 2005.
14. The Faber Book of Utopias, ed. John Carey, faber and faber, p. xi.
15. Tommaso Campanella, The City of the Sun, Easy Read Edition, www.ReadHowYouWant.com.
16. Utopia (Penguin Classics) Kindle Edition by Thomas More (Author), Dominic Baker-Smith (Translator), Kindle location: 1471 of 2887.